[6th December 1952

Supply of electricity from Guntakal to Uravakonda.

* 330 *Q.*—Sri S. NARAYANAPPA: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) when the sanctioned extension scheme for electricity from Guntakal to Uravakonda would be taken up for execution;

(*b*) whether orders have been given for collection of necessary materials to the concerned authority;

(*c*) how much amount is allotted this year to expedite the scheme; and

(*d*) whether this scheme would be completed by the end of this year or even earlier?

The Hon. Sri N. RANGA REDDI:—" (*a*) The scheme has already been taken up for execution.

" (*b*) The answer is in the affirmative.

" (*c*) Rs. 85,000.

" (*d*) No. The completion of the scheme even in 1953–54 will depend on the availability of funds."

Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal.

* 331 *Q.*—Sri S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there was a proposal for, or whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of officials and non-officials for the management of the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal; and

(*b*) if so, why and when was it dropped?

The Hon. Dr. U. KRISHNA RAO:—" (*a*) & (*b*) There was a proposal to have an Advisory Board for the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal, but the Government examined it and in 1950 came to the conclusion that as the concern was working well and at a profit there was no need for such an advisory board."

The Badinegadda Project, Cuddapah district.

* 333 *Q.*—Sri P. VENKATASUBBIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) the stage at which the investigation of the Badinegadda Project in Rajampet taluk, Cuddapah district, now is; and

(*b*) if the investigation has been completed, when the scheme is expected to be implemented?

The Hon. Sri N. RANGA REDDI:—" (*a*) Of the two reservoirs comprised in the project, viz., one across the Pinchanadi about 4 miles above Rayavaram and the other

6th December 1952]

across Cheyyar near Badinegadda, the former is in the closing stages of investigation and the latter is proposed to be taken up for investigation as soon as possible.

"(b) Does not arise."

Production of low counts of yarn in the State.

* 334 Q.—SRI A. J. ARUNACHALAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no demand among the weavers for 26s, 36s, 34s and 50s counts of yarn; and

(b) if so, what action the Government have taken to stop the supply of such counts?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" (a) There is demand for 26s and 36s counts yarn among the weavers but there is no demand for 34s and 50s counts.

"(b) The mills are not offering 34s and 50s counts yarn at present and as such no action in the matter is considered necessary."

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have to inform the House that hon. Member Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan has given notice of an adjournment motion which reads as follows :—

'This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, viz., refusal by the Government of Madras to recommend to the Government of India to grant passport to delegates from Madras State to go to Vienna to attend the " Peoples ' Congress for World Peace " scheduled to be held on the 12th of December this year.'

Passport is a Central subject and I want to know how the hon. Member can raise it here."

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—" This is a matter of urgent public importance. It is the State Government that recommend the issue of passports, to the Central Government. In many cases, the State Government have refused to recommend the issue of passports. The Central Government consult the State Government."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Whatever it is, the issue of passports is Union subject and under the Constitution, it comes under the Central List. If the Central Government ask the recommendation of the State Government, then it is only in an advisory capacity and the State Government have no responsibility in the matter."

* SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Whatever may be defined in the Constitution, I wish to submit that such questions are finally disposed of by the State Government. The position to-day is that the State Government themselves dispose of the matter. Only in cases where there is a doubt, the matter is referred to the